

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	· FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/739,692	12/20/2000	Kouji Nakahara	· 520.39419X00	3284
20457	7590 05/06/2003			
ANTONELLI TERRY STOUT AND KRAUS SUITE 1800 1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, JOSEPH H	
ARLINGTON, VA 22209		·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2815	

DATE MAILED: 05/06/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		ication No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		39,692	NAKAHARA ET AL	••				
		niner	Art Unit					
		ph Nguyen	2815					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status								
1) Responsive to communication	(s) filed on <u>28 Februa</u>	<u>rry 2003</u> .						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL .	2b) ☐ This action	on is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims	Ab a ann Castion							
4) Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application.								
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>14,15 and 25-32</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
•	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) <u>1-13 and 16-24</u> is/are								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected		: <u></u>						
8) Claim(s) are subject to read Application Papers	estriction and/or elect	ion requirement.						
9)☐ The specification is objected to I	by the Examiner							
10) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 20 December 2000 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	0							
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None	e of:							
1. Certified copies of the pri	iority documents have	e been received.						
2. Certified copies of the pri								
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
		•		application).				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.								
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Rev Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-14) 			w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(of Informal Patent Application (PTC					

Art Unit: 2815

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1, 3, 4, 5, 17, 18, 21 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The much broader limitation of a "heater which provides heat without providing cooling" in claims 1, 4 and 17 is not supported by the originally filed disclosure and hence is deemed to be new matter. That is, the originally filed specification only discloses a heater without Peltier cooling. Nowhere does it disclose any other type of a heater that does not provide cooling.

Claims 3, 5, 18, 21 and 22 are also rejected due to their dependency upon the rejected base claims 1, 4 and 17.

The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: The limitation of a "heater, which provides heat without providing cooling" must be fully disclosed in the specification.

Art Unit: 2815

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kameda (JP 6-97601).

Regarding claim 1, Kameda discloses on figure 1 a semiconductor laser module comprising a semiconductor laser and control means 2 for controlling wavelength of the light wave radiated fro the semiconductor laser wherein said wavelength of the light wave radiated from the semiconductor laser wherein said wavelength is controlled by heater 70 which provides heating without providing cooling.

Regarding claims 2-3, Kameda discloses on figure 1 all the structures set forth in the claimed invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2815

Claims 4-12, 16-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hirose (JP57-54383) in view of Kameda.

Regarding claims 4, 8 and 17, Hirose discloses on figure 3 substantially all the structures set forth in the claimed invention except heater without providing cooling. However, Kameda discloses on figure 1 heater 70 with providing cooling. In view of such teaching, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Hirose by having heater without providing cooling for the purpose of improving the absolute amount of refractive index change of a wave guide of an optical device as taught by Kameda (Abstract of Kameda).

Regarding claims 5-7, 9-12, 16, 18-24, Hirose and Kameda together disclose all the structure set forth in the claimed invention.

Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hirose and Kameda as applied to claim 12 above, and further in view of Auracher et al.

Regarding claim 13, Hirose and Kameda disclose substantially all the structure set forth in the claimed invention except the semiconductor laser being a Fabry-Perot. However, Auracher discloses the semiconductor laser being a Fabry-Perot (col. 2, lines 15-20). In view of such teaching, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Hirose and Kameda by having the semiconductor laser being a Fabry-Perot for the purpose of optimizing the use of a semiconductor laser.

Art Unit: 2815

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-13, 16-24 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-1269. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:30 am- 4:30 pm

Art Unit: 2815

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie Lee can be reached on (703) 308-1690. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-7382 for regular communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

JN April 29, 2003

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800